

Innovative interfaces in MonAMI: The Reminder

Jonas Beskow
KTH Speech Music &
Hearing
beskow@speech.kth.se

Jens Edlund
KTH Speech Music &
Hearing
edlund@speech.kth.se

Teodor Gjermani
KTH Speech Music &
Hearing
gjermani@kth.se

Björn Granström
KTH Speech Music &
Hearing
bjorn@speech.kth.se

Joakim Gustafson
KTH Speech Music &
Hearing
jocke@speech.kth.se

Oskar Jonsson
Swedish Institute of
Assistive Technology
Oskar.Jonsson@hi.se

Gabriel Skantze
KTH Speech Music &
Hearing
gabriel@speech.kth.se

Helena Tobiasson
KTH Human-Computer
Interaction
tobi@nada.kth.se

(Authors in alphabetical order)

ABSTRACT

This demo paper presents an early version of the Reminder, a prototype ECA developed in the European project MonAMI, which aims at “mainstreaming accessibility in consumer goods and services, using advanced technologies to ensure equal access, independent living and participation for all”. The Reminder helps users to plan activities and to remember what to do. The prototype merges mobile ECA technology with other, existing technologies: Google Calendar and a digital pen and paper. The solution allows users to continue using a paper calendar in the manner they are used to, whilst the ECA provides notifications on what has been written in the calendar. Users may ask questions such as “When was I supposed to meet Sara?” or “What’s my schedule today?”

ACM Categories & Subject Descriptors

J.0 [Computer Applications]: General

General Terms: Human Factors, Languages

1. INTRODUCTION

This demo paper presents the first version of a multimodal spoken dialogue system developed within the European project MonAMI (<http://www.monami.info/>). The objective of the MonAMI project is to demonstrate that accessible, useful services for elderly and disabled persons living at home can be delivered in mainstream systems and platforms. The technology platforms delivering the services are largely derived from standard technology, and integrate elements such as wearable devices, user interaction technology, and service infrastructures to ensure quality of service, reliability and privacy. The services are delivered on mainstream devices and services such as digital-TV, cell telephones and broadband Internet.

As traditional human-machine interfaces often assume a degree of computer literacy and are unintuitive to those unfamiliar with technology, development of innovative interfaces is also a part of the MonAMI project. The overall goal is to relieve human-computer interaction from some of the demands posed on the cognitive, visual and motor skills of the user, especially for elderly and disabled persons. Conversational interfaces are a radically different approach to human-machine interaction where

Copyright is held by the author/owner(s).
ICMI’08, October 20–22, 2008, Chania, Crete, Greece.
ACM 978-1-60558-198-9/08/10.

the interaction metaphor is shifted from desktop manipulation to spoken dialogue, modelled on a communication type we are intrinsically familiar with: spoken human-human face-to-face dialogue. The result is an ECA – an embodied conversational agent, communicating with speech, facial expression, gaze and gesture. The innovative interfaces effort within MonAMI aims to develop interface technology based on the ECA; to implement a prototype that will be evaluated with users in the target group; and to adapt and use existing design and evaluation methods, based on end user involvement, for gaining understanding of IT functions and services that are considered meaningful by people with disabilities and people close to them. This demo paper presents an early version of the Reminder, the prototype ECA developed in the project in order to reach towards these goals.

2. DOMAIN

Our choice of target application for the ECA prototype was informed by the services allocated for the Swedish FU centre (a Feasibility and Usability centre where user tests are held in lab-like conditions) in MonAMI, and in particular by meetings held with two potential users, both of whom have had a brain tumour and have cognitive disability, to identify potential areas addressing real key problems in their daily life. Based on these interviews, the choice fell on an application helping users plan activities and remember what to do. The overall application design is largely based on requirements from the interviews with the potential users, both of whom used a range of reminder applications and devices: paper calendars, paper notes, PDA calendars, electronic whiteboards, and SMS notifications, and both of whom expressed interest in using an ECA for getting notifications. The reminder addresses this by supporting pen input as well as speech, as seen in the following scenario illustrates:

December 14

10:00 *When speaking to Sara on the phone, Peter and Sara agree on a meeting at 12:00 the next day. Peter writes this down in his calendar.*

December 15

8:00 **Peter:** What happens today?
System: At 12 o'clock you have written "meeting with Sara".
Peter: Ok, remind me 1 hour ahead.

11:00 **System:** Peter?
Peter: Yes.
System: At 12 o'clock, you have written "meeting with Sara".
Peter: Ok.

The domain presents hard challenges for ECAs. For example, the things of which a person may want to be reminded varies indefinitely, which is a problem for speech recognition.

3. SYSTEM

The Reminder application architecture is based on the Higgins architecture (Edlund et al., 2004). The architecture is chiefly designed to cater to development and research needs, such as flexibility and ease of use, and places few constraints on components, which can be implemented in any language and run on any platform. From the ASR, the top hypothesis with word confidence scores is forwarded for natural language understanding components. First it is sent to the robust interpreter Pickering (Skantze & Edlund, 2004), which makes a robust interpretation of this hypothesis and creates context-independent semantic representations of communicative acts (CAs). The results from Pickering are forwarded to the discourse modeller Galatea (Skantze, 2008), which may be regarded as a further interpretation step taking dialogue context into account. Galatea adds these to a discourse model (DM). The discourse model is passed to the Reminder Action Manager, which initiates systems actions. The Reminder uses Google Calendar as its backend. When the discourse model is updated by a user request for calendar information, the action manager searches Google Calendar to generate a system response in the form of a CA, which is passed to a component called Ovidius (Skantze, 2007). Ovidius generates a textual representation of the system utterance that forwarded to a multimodal speech synthesiser for rendering. (The CA and the textual representation are both passed to Galatea for inclusion in the discourse model.) The text-to-speech synthesis and facial animation is responsible of producing verbal as well as non-verbal responses from the system. The animated character is based on a 3D parameterised talking head that can be controlled by a text-to-speech system to provide accurate lip-synchronised audio-visual synthetic speech (Beskow, 1997). The facial model includes control parameters for articulatory gestures as well as non-verbal expressions, which can be derived from motion recordings or developed using an interactive parameter editor (see Beskow et al., 2005 for details).

Each time the system initiates or if the Google Calendar entries are updated, the action manager also parses the calendar entries to build new speech recognition grammars and send them to the ASR. A schematic of Google Calendar can be seen in Figure 2, in which the original service interfaces are represented by solid lines and the extensions implemented in MonAMI by dotted lines. Utilising Google Calendar brings the obvious advantage of not having to provide hardware, software and connectivity for the calendar backbone, but there are several other benefits as well. Some of the more noteworthy come from the fact that the Google Calendar already provides Web and SMS user interfaces.

Mainly to meet the requirements from potential users, and partly in order to address the large and unknown vocabulary problem, we designed a solution based on a mix of speech technology and a digital pen and paper. To the user, the effect of the pen input is that of writing down events in a seemingly ordinary paper calendar. The text written by the user is transferred to a computer which performs handwriting recognition and transfers the information to a calendar backbone. The information may then be accessed by the ECA. The solution allows the users to use a paper calendar like they are used to, and addresses the ASR

vocabulary problem: users may write anything they like in the calendar, but vocabulary can be limited to a base vocabulary the contents of calendar entries, which is used to update the vocabulary so that the user may speak about events in the calendar.

Finally we have made it possible to display the animated talking face on a PDA (see figure 1) with a frame rate of about 18 fps. This performance was enough to give us good results when we tested the engine with real users – they were judged to be sufficiently natural and no test subject complained about the quality of the animated talking face. Connectivity with the server was implemented using TCP/IP over wireless network. Synchronization of the audio signal with the animated talking head was fulfilled – the sound and visemes were synchronized and the subjects' perception of speech coming from the agent was good.




Figure 1. The PDA version of the animated talking head.

4. ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This research was carried out at the KTH Centre for Speech Technology, supported by MonAMI, an IP under the European Commission's Sixth Framework Program (IP-035147).

5. REFERENCES

- Beskow, J. (1997). Animation of talking agents. In Benoit, C., & Campbel, R. (Eds.), Proc of ESCA Workshop on Audio-Visual Speech Processing (pp. 149-152). Rhodes, Greece.
- Beskow, J., Edlund, J., & Nordstrand, M. (2005). A model for multi-modal dialogue system output applied to an animated talking head. In Minker, W., Bühler, D., & Dybkjaer, L. (Eds.), Spoken Multimodal Human-Computer Dialogue in Mobile Environments (pp. 93-113). Dordrecht, NL: Kluwer.
- Edlund, J., Skantze, G., & Carlson, R. (2004). Higgins - a spoken dialogue system for investigating error handling techniques. In Proceedings of the International Conference on Spoken Language Processing, ICSLP 04 (pp. 229-231). Jeju, Korea.
- Skantze, G. (2007). Error Handling in Spoken Dialogue Systems - Managing Uncertainty, Grounding and Miscommunication. Doctoral dissertation, KTH.
- Skantze, G. (2008). Galatea: A discourse modeller supporting concept-level error handling in spoken dialogue systems. To be published in Dybkjaer, L., & Minker, W. (Eds.), Recent Trends in Discourse and Dialogue. Springer.
- Skantze, G., & Edlund, J. (2004). Robust interpretation in the Higgins spoken dialogue system. In ISCA Tutorial and Research Workshop (ITRW) on Robustness Issues in Conversational Interaction. Norwich, UK.